## REMARKS

Claim 1 and 3-23 remain in the application with claims 1, 3-7, 9, 13, 17, 20, and 22 having been amended hereby and claim 2 having been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 USC 102(e), as being anticipated by Owaki.

According to the present invention a system is provided whereby so-called additional information that is transmitted along with main information, which is typically audio information, or video information, is stored in a memory during the current reception of a broadcast. In other words, the additional information is typically textual information that can be stored in a memory during a current reception of a broadcast upon the user operating an operation means that then controls the memory to store the additional information during the current reception.

The claims have been amended hereby to emphasize the abovenoted features of the present invention.

Owaki relates to a receiver for receiving text-based multiplexed broadcasts and storing the text-based data. In Owaki, the so-called storing of the text-based data is based upon presetting a timer, as explained at column 10 commencing at line 50. Because in Owaki the text-based data does not necessarily have anything to do with the main data, such a timer setting operation is desirable because it means that the additional information or text-based data can be automatically

recorded overnight, for example, without causing interruption of the listening to the audio program.

Accordingly, because Owaki does not disclose storing the additional information during a current reception of the broadcast upon the user operating an operation means, as taught by the present invention and as recited in the amended claims, it is respectfully submitted that Owaki fails to anticipate the present invention as recited in the amended claims.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 5-7 under 35 USC 103, as being unpatentable over Owaki in view of Migliaccio et al.

Claims 5-7 depend from claim 1 which for the reason set forth hereinabove is thought to be patentably distinct over the cited references and, for at least those very same reasons, claims 5-7 are also submitted to be patentably distinct thereover.

Claims 5-7 relate to the feature of the present invention in which the control unit sorts the additional information using a specified item of the text information as a key.

Although Migliaccio et al. relates to a musical system including a central processing unit that updates the musical excerpt capture statistics and sorts the data stored in the memory unit based on the capture statistics, see col. 7 lines 1-15, Migliaccio et al. fails to suggest the features of the present invention relating to sorting the additional information using a specified item of that additional information as a key, as set forth in dependent claims 5-7.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 8-23 under 35 USC 103, as being unpatentable over Owaki in view of Morowitz.

Claims 8-23 depend from claim 1 for the reason set forth hereinabove is thought to be patentably distinct over the cited references and, for at least those very same reasons claims 8-23 are also submitted to be patentably distinct thereover.

Morowitz relates to a receiver for receiving the radio broadcast data system signals along with the typical FM signal. Nevertheless, it is respectfully submitted that Morowitz does not cure the deficiency of Owaki relating to storing received additional information into the memory during the current reception of the broadcast upon the user operating the operation means.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claims 8-23 are patentably distinct over the cited references.

Therefore, by reason of the amendments made to the claims hereby, as well as the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that a receiver in which additional information is stored in the memory during the current reception of a broadcast, as taught by the present invention and as recited in the amended claims, is neither shown nor suggested in the cited references, alone or in combination.

The references cited as of interest have been reviewed and are not seen to show or suggest the present invention as recited in the amended claims.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted, COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP

Jay H. Maioli Reg. No. 27,213

JHM:tb